arXiv:0906.3189v1 [gr-qc] 17 Jun 2009

Petrov type D pure radiation fields of Kundt's class

L. De Groote, N. Van den Bergh and L. Wylleman
Ghent University, Department of Mathematical Analysis IW16,
Galglaan 2, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

Abstract. We present all Petrov type D pure radiation space-times, with or without cosmological constant, with a shear-free, non-diverging geodesic principal null congruence.

1. Introduction

A space-time is said to belong to Kundt's class if it admits a null congruence, generated by a vectorfield $\tilde{k}$, which is non-diverging (i.e. which is both non-expanding and non-rotating and therefore also geodesic). In the case of a pure radiation field, which, by definition, has an energy-momentum tensor of the form $T_{ab} = \phi k_a k_b$, with $k_a k^a = 0$, $\phi \neq 0$, one can furthermore show[2], making use of the null energy condition $R_{ab}k^a k^b \geq 0$ and the Raychaudhuri-equation

$$\Theta_{,a}\tilde{k}^a - \omega^2 + \Theta^2 + \sigma\overline{\sigma} = -\frac{1}{2}R_{ab}\tilde{k}^a\tilde{k}^b,$$

that k and $\tilde{k}$ are aligned and that the associated null congruence is shear-free :

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab}\tilde{k}^a\tilde{k}^b = -2\sigma\overline{\sigma} \qquad &\Rightarrow \sigma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R_{ab}\tilde{k}^a\tilde{k}^b = 0, \\ R_{ab} = \phi k_a k_b + \Lambda g_{ab} \quad &\Rightarrow k \propto \tilde{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant. The Goldberg-Sachs theorem implies then that the Kundt space-times must be algebraically special (type II, D, III or N or conformally flat) and that $\tilde{k}$ is aligned with a repeated principal null direction of the Weyl tensor.

Conversely, for aligned *Petrov type D* pure radiation fields, it follows immediately from the Bianchi equations (without invoking the energy conditions) and from

$$\Psi_0 = \Psi_1 = \Psi_3 = \Psi_4 = 0, \qquad \Psi_2 \neq 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\Phi_{00} = \Phi_{11} = \Phi_{01} = \Phi_{12} = \Phi_{02} = 0, \quad \Phi_{22} \neq 0, \tag{2}$$

that

$$\kappa = \sigma = \lambda = 0, \tag{3}$$

such that the principal null direction k aligned with the radiative direction is geodesic and shear-free, i.e. the converse part of the Goldberg-Sachs is generalized to this situation. Moreover, it is known that aligned Petrov type D pure radiation fields cannot be of (null) Maxwell type[4] nor of (null) neutrino or scalar field type[3], while Wils[1] showed that k is necessarily non-twisting ($\overline{\rho} = \rho$). The diverging solutions ($\rho \neq 0$) then belong to the Robinson-Trautman class and are all explicitly known[2]. In the non-diverging case $\rho = 0$, however, only a few type D examples are available[3]; here we present all solutions belonging to the latter class.

As shown by Kundt[5] in 1961 the line-elements admitting a geodesic, shear-free and non-diverging null congruence can all be expressed in the form:

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = 2P^{-2}\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\overline{\zeta} - 2\mathrm{d}u(\mathrm{d}r + H\mathrm{d}u + W\mathrm{d}\zeta + \overline{W}\mathrm{d}\overline{\zeta}), \tag{4}$$

in which P is a real function of $(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}, u)$ and H, W are respectively real and complex functions of $(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}, u, r)$, to be determined by appropriate field equations. The vacuum solutions of this type have been known for a very long time[6]. A procedure (based on Theorem 31.1 in [2]) is also available allowing one to generate non-vacuum solutions of Kundt's class, from vacuum solutions. However, in this way the Petrov type of the metric generally will be changed from D to II. Insisting that the Petrov type does not change, constrains the function H_0 of the 'background-metric' and it was not certain whether one could generate all pure radiation solutions in this way. This technique was used in [3], where the authors managed to construct a family of type D pure radiation fields and where they conjectured that these solutions were the only aligned type D pure radiation fields of Kundt's class. Below we show that the solutions obtained in [3] only cover a small part of the entire family, which we present in this paper.

The most obvious way to find all type D pure radiation fields of Kundt's class, is to start from the general Kundt metric (4), express that the solutions we are looking for are of Petrov type D, and to make sure that the field equations for pure radiation are satisfied. This however introduces a hard to solve system of non-linear conditions. We therefore prefer to start from the general Geroch Held Penrose (GHP)[7] or Newman Penrose (NP)[8] equations, where we follow the notation and conventions of [2], and to extract from these all possible invariant information, before introducing any coordinates.

2. GHP analysis

Introducing the set of conditions (1)-(3) and the non-diverging condition $\rho = 0$ within the GHP Bianchi equations, one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Þ}\Psi_2 = 0, && \text{Þ}\Phi_{22} = 0,\\
&\eth\Psi_2 = 3\tau\Psi_2, && \eth\Phi_{22} = 3\overline{\nu}\overline{\Psi}_2 + \tau\Phi_{22},\\
&\eth'\Psi_2 = -3\pi\Psi_2, && \eth'\Phi_{22} = 3\nu\Psi_2 + \overline{\tau}\Phi_{22}.\\
&\text{Þ}'\Psi_2 = -3\mu\Psi_2, &&
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The GHP Ricci equations then reduce to

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Þ}\tau = 0, & \eth'\mu = (\overline{\mu} - \mu)\pi, \\ \eth\tau = \tau^2, & \eth'\nu = (\overline{\tau} - \pi)\nu, \\ \eth'\tau = \tau\overline{\tau} + \Psi_2 + \dfrac{\Lambda}{3}, & \eth'\pi = -\pi^2, \end{array} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Þ}\nu &= \text{Þ}'\pi + (\overline{\tau} + \pi)\mu, \\ \text{Þ}'\mu &= \eth\nu - \mu^2 + \overline{\nu}\pi - \nu\tau - \Phi_{22}, \\ \text{Þ}\mu &= \eth\pi + \pi\overline{\pi} + \Psi_2 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The remaining basic variables at this stage are $\mu, \nu, \pi, \tau, \Psi_2$ and their complex conjugates, together with Φ_{22}; the cosmological constant Λ appears as a parameter. From the integrability conditions for Ψ_2 one obtains :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Þ}\nu = 0, & \text{Þ}\pi = 0, \\ \text{Þ}\mu = \pi\overline{\pi} - \tau\overline{\tau}, & \eth\pi = -(\tau\overline{\tau} + \Psi_2 + \dfrac{\Lambda}{3}), \\ \eth\mu = -\text{Þ}'\tau, & \text{Þ}'\pi = -(\overline{\tau} + \pi)\mu. \end{array} \tag{8}$$

$[\eth', \text{Þ}]\,\mu$ now yields the algebraic equation

$$\left(2\tau\overline{\tau} + \overline{\Psi}_2 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)\pi + \left(2\tau\overline{\tau} + \Psi_2 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)\overline{\tau} = 0. \tag{9}$$

From $[\eth, \eth']\mu$ we can extract an expression for $\eth'\text{Þ}'\tau$:

$$\eth'\text{Þ}'\tau = \left(\overline{\Psi}_2 - 2\Psi_2 - 2\overline{\tau}\tau - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)\mu + \left(\Psi_2 + 2\overline{\tau}\tau + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\right)\overline{\mu} - \pi\text{Þ}'\tau.$$

If one then calculates $[\eth, \eth']\,\text{Þ}'\tau$, making use of $[\text{Þ}', \text{Þ}]\tau$, $[\eth, \text{Þ}']\tau$, and (9) the following expression for $\text{Þ}'\tau$ is obtained,

$$\text{Þ}'\tau = \overline{\mu}\tau - \mu\overline{\pi} - 2\mu\tau, \tag{10}$$

after which $[\text{Þ}', \eth]\,\pi$ results in a second algebraic equation

$$\mu Q = 0, \qquad Q = 6(\overline{\tau}\overline{\pi} + \tau\pi) + 3(\tau\overline{\tau} + \pi\overline{\pi}) - \Lambda + 3(\Psi_2 + \overline{\Psi}_2). \tag{11}$$

From $\eth(9) - 2\tau(9)$ one readily infers

$$\pi\overline{\pi} = \tau\overline{\tau}. \tag{12}$$

In the case where $\pi = \tau = 0$, $\eth\pi = 0$ and $\text{Þ}'\eth\pi = 0$ yield

$$\Psi_2 = -\frac{\Lambda}{3}, \quad \mu = 0 \tag{13}$$

and all the above equations are identically satisfied. Consider now the remaining case $\pi\tau \neq 0$, and introduce the zero-weighted (i.e. spin- and boost-invariant) quantities

$$A \equiv |\pi\overline{\pi}|^{1/2} = |\tau\overline{\tau}|^{1/2} \quad (\overline{A} = A > 0), \tag{14}$$

$$T \equiv \frac{\pi}{\overline{\tau}} = \frac{\tau}{\overline{\pi}} \qquad \left(\overline{T} = T^{-1}\right). \tag{15}$$

In this way π and τ are conveniently parametrized by

$$\pi = \frac{A}{B}, \quad \tau = ATB, \tag{16}$$

the weight of both being absorbed in the (1,-1)-weighted quantity

$$B \equiv \left(\frac{\overline{\pi}}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{\tau}{\overline{\tau}}\right)^{1/2} \quad (\overline{B} = B^{-1}). \tag{17}$$

Within this notation, the equations (9) and (11) translate into

$$(T+1)(2A^2 + \Lambda/3) + \Psi_2 + T\overline{\Psi}_2 = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$\mu Q = 0, \qquad Q \equiv 2(T^2+T+1)A^2 + T(\Psi_2 + \overline{\Psi}_2 - \Lambda/3), \tag{19}$$

respectively. Furthermore, we define the (-2,-2)-weighted quantities

$$X \equiv \eth\nu, \quad N \equiv B\nu \tag{20}$$

and the zero-weighted derivative operators

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv B^{-1}\,\eth, \quad \mathcal{D}' \equiv B\,\eth'. \tag{21}$$

From (5)-(7), $[\text{Þ}', \eth]\mu$ and $[\eth, \eth']\nu$ one then deduces the following autonomous first-order system for the zero-weighted fields Ψ_2, $\overline{\Psi}_2$, T, A and the (-2,-2)-weighted fields X, $\overline{X}$, N, $\overline{N}$, Φ_{22}:

$$\mathcal{D}\Psi_2 = 3AT\Psi_2, \quad \mathcal{D}\overline{\Psi}_2 = -3A\overline{\Psi}_2, \tag{22}$$

$$\mathcal{D}T = AT(T+1), \quad \mathcal{D}A = -A^2 - \frac{3\Psi_2 + \Lambda}{6}, \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}X = {} & A(4T+1)X - AT\overline{X} - 2A^2T(T+1)N + 2A^2(T+2)\overline{N}A^2 \\ & + (3\overline{\Psi}_2 + \Psi_2 + \Lambda/3)\overline{N} - A(T+1)\Phi_{22},\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\mathcal{D}\overline{X} = A(T-1)\overline{X} + (4\overline{\Psi}_2 + \Lambda/3 + 2A^2)\overline{N}, \tag{25}$$

$$\mathcal{D}N = X + \frac{(3\Psi_2 + \Lambda)}{6A}N, \quad \mathcal{D}\overline{N} = \left(\frac{A(T-1)}{T} - \frac{3\overline{\Psi}_2 + \Lambda}{6A}\right)N, \tag{26}$$

$$\mathcal{D}\Phi_{22} = AT\Phi_{22} + 3\overline{\Psi}_2\overline{N}. \tag{27}$$

For later use, one immediately observes from (23) that T *constant implies* $T = -1$. Also notice that (22),(23) forms a zero-weighted subsystem, whereas the equations (24)-(27) are (-2,-2)-weighted, such that their right hand sides are necessarily linear and homogeneous in $X, \overline{X}, N, \overline{N}, \Phi_{22}$. The complex conjugates of (22)-(27) yield an analogous $\mathcal{D}'$ system. However, as deduced from $[\eth, \eth']\Phi_{22}$, both systems are constrained by

$$3T(\Psi_2 X - \overline{\Psi}_2\overline{X}) + 6A(T^2\Psi_2 N - \overline{\Psi}_2\overline{N}) + T(\Psi_2 - \overline{\Psi}_2)\Phi_{22} = 0, \tag{28}$$

which is again linear and homogeneous in $X, \overline{X}, N, \overline{N}, \Phi_{22}$.

We are now ready to prove:

Theorem *W.r.t. a Weyl canonical tetrad (1),(2) any aligned Petrov type D pure radiation field, for which the principal null direction, aligned with the radiative direction is non-diverging, satisfies the following boost- and rotation-invariant properties:*

$$\kappa = \sigma = \rho = 0, \quad \lambda = \mu = 0, \quad \nu \neq 0, \tag{29}$$

$$\pi + \overline{\tau} = 0, \quad \overline{\Psi}_2 = \Psi_2, \quad \pi\, \Phi_{22} + \nu \left(\Psi_2 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \right) = 0. \tag{30}$$

Proof It immediately follows from (7) that $\mu = \nu = 0$ is inconsistent with $\Phi_{22} \neq 0$, and from (9) that $\pi + \overline{\tau} = 0$ implies Ψ_2 to be real. By (13) the theorem is true in the case where $\pi = \tau = 0$, and it remains to establish $\mu = 0$ and $\pi = -\overline{\tau}$ ($T = -1$ in the above notation) when $\pi\tau \neq 0$.

Referring to (19), we first treat the case $Q = 0$. Two linear and homogeneous systems in $X, \overline{X}, N, \overline{N}$ and Φ_{22} are obtained from [(28), $\mathcal{D}$(28), $\mathcal{D}'$(28), $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}'$(28)] and $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}$(28), respectively $\mathcal{D}'\mathcal{D}'$(28). As $\Phi_{22} \neq 0$, the determinants of these systems must vanish. This yields two polynomial relations in A^2, Λ, Ψ_2, $\overline{\Psi}_2$ and T; eliminating A^2 and Λ by means of (18) and $Q = 0$, and appropriately scaling the results with Ψ_2, yields two polynomial relations in the zero-order variables T and $k \equiv \overline{\Psi}_2/\Psi_2$ of the form $(T+1)^3 T^7 P_i(T, k) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, where P_1 and P_2 are irreducible and non-proportional. Thus T is constant, whence $T = -1$ and $\overline{\Psi}_2 = \Psi_2$. Substituting this into $Q = 0$ yields $\pi\overline{\pi} - \Psi_2 + \Lambda/6 = 0$, and calculating the Þ$'$-derivative hereof gives $\mu = 0$.

Thus $\mu = 0$ in any case, and (7) implies

$$ð'\overline{\nu} = ð\nu = -\pi\overline{\nu} - \overline{\pi}\nu + \Phi_{22}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \overline{X} = X = -A(\overline{N} - TN) + \Phi_{22}. \tag{31}$$

Substituting this in [(28),$\mathcal{D}$(28),$\mathcal{D}'$(28)], [(28),$\mathcal{D}$(28),$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}$(28)] and [(28),$\mathcal{D}'$(28),$\mathcal{D}'\mathcal{D}'$(28)] yields three linear and homogeneous systems in $N, \overline{N}$ and Φ_{22}. Eliminating A^2 and Λ from the three determinants and (18) leads to two polynomial relations in T and k with $(T+1)^3$ as the only common factor, such that again $T = -1$ and $\overline{\Psi}_2 = \Psi_2$. Inserting this and (31) into (28) implies $\overline{N} = N$ (i.e., ν/π is real), a final $\mathcal{D}$-derivative of which yields

$$A\Phi_{22} = N(\Psi_2 + \Lambda/3),$$

the translation of the last equation of (30). □

One checks that, given the specifications (1),(2) and (29),(30), the remaining GHP equations are consistent, such that corresponding solutions exist. Referring to (19) and the above proof, we finally remark that all derivatives of $Q\,\Psi_2^{-2/3}$ are zero, such that one has the following important relation:

$$\pi\overline{\pi} = \Psi_2 - \frac{\Lambda}{6} + c_1 \Psi_2^{2/3}, \qquad c_1 \quad \text{constant.} \tag{32}$$

Because of (13), this only yields new information when $\pi \neq 0$; the case $c_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q = 0$ will be distinguished from $c_1 \neq 0$ for the corresponding integration in § 3.2.

3. NP analysis

In order to construct the metrics, we now switch to the NP-formalism, where we first introduce the invariant information, as obtained above. Appropriate boosts and rotations allow one to put $\epsilon = 0$ and $\beta = -(\overline{\alpha} + \pi)$. This fixes the null tetrad up to rotations and boosts satisfying $\mathrm{D}\theta = 0$ and $\mathrm{D}A = 0 = \delta A = \overline{\delta} A$. As $\nu \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{D}\nu = (\text{Þ} - 3\epsilon - \overline{\epsilon})\nu = 0$, one can completely eliminate the rotational degree of freedom by requiring $\overline{\nu} = \nu$. By (30) this implies $\overline{\pi} = \pi$. The solutions can then be divided into two families : one in which $\pi = 0$ and one in which $\pi \neq 0$. We also introduce γ_0 and γ_1/ν, the real and the imaginary part of γ, respectively.

3.1. The family $\pi = 0$

In this case (8) shows $\Psi_2 = -\frac{\Lambda}{3} \neq 0$. The NP Ricci equations can then be rewritten in the form :

$$\Phi_{22} = -4\alpha\nu, \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{D}\alpha &= 0, \\ \mathrm{D}\gamma_0 &= -\frac{\Lambda}{2}, \\ \mathrm{D}\gamma_1 &= 0, \end{aligned} \qquad (34) \qquad\qquad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{D}\nu &= 0, \\ \overline{\delta}\nu &= -2\alpha\nu, \\ \delta\nu &= -2\alpha\nu, \end{aligned} \qquad (35)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\gamma_0 &= 0, && (36) \\ \nu\Delta\alpha - \mathrm{i}\overline{\delta}\gamma_1 &= 0, && (37) \\ \delta\alpha + \overline{\delta}\alpha &= 4\alpha^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{2}. && (38) \end{aligned}$$

The Bianchi-equations now yield an expression for $\delta\alpha$ (by which (38) becomes an identity) :

$$\delta\alpha = 2\alpha^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{4}.$$

By (33) and (35) ν cannot be constant and this allows one to use ν as a coordinate. As is clear from (34), γ_0 provides a second independent function and hence can be used to define a coordinate $r = -2\gamma_0/\Lambda$. As $\delta\gamma_0 = 0$ it follows that $\boldsymbol{\omega}^4 = \mathrm{d}r + H\boldsymbol{\omega}^3$ ($H = -\Delta r$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}^i$ representing the basis one-forms). From (35) we see that we can write $\boldsymbol{\omega}^1 + \boldsymbol{\omega}^2$ as :

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^1 + \boldsymbol{\omega}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta\nu\boldsymbol{\omega}^3 - \mathrm{d}\nu}{\alpha\nu}. \tag{39}$$

The Cartan-equations read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^1 &= 2\alpha\,\boldsymbol{\omega}^1 \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^2 + 2\mathrm{i}\gamma_1/\nu\,\boldsymbol{\omega}^1 \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^3, && (40) \\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^3 &= 0, \\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}^4 &= \nu(\boldsymbol{\omega}^1 + \boldsymbol{\omega}^2) \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^3 - 2\gamma_0\,\boldsymbol{\omega}^3 \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}^4, \end{aligned}$$

showing that a function u exists, such that $\boldsymbol{\omega}^3 = \mathrm{d}u$. A fourth coordinate x is defined by writing $\boldsymbol{\omega}^1 - \boldsymbol{\omega}^2 = \mathrm{i}(N\mathrm{d}\nu + U\mathrm{d}u + 4\nu X\mathrm{d}x)$, with N, U, X real functions of (u, r, x, ν), where the factor ν has been introduced for later convenience. From (40) it then follows that the functions N, U and X are independent of r, so a transformation of x can be used to put $N = 0$. Hence we obtain the following basis one-forms :

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\omega}^1 &= \frac{2\mathrm{i}\alpha\nu U + \Delta\nu}{4\alpha\nu}\mathrm{d}u - \frac{1}{4\alpha\nu}\mathrm{d}\nu + 2\mathrm{i}\nu X\mathrm{d}x, \qquad (41)\\
\boldsymbol{\omega}^3 &= \mathrm{d}u,\\
\boldsymbol{\omega}^4 &= \mathrm{d}r + H\mathrm{d}u,
\end{aligned}$$

where U and X are real functions of (u, ν, x) and H is a real function of (u, r, ν, x). The corresponding directional derivatives are then given by :

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta &= -2\alpha\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4\nu X}\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\\
\Delta &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - H\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \Delta\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} - \frac{U}{4\nu X}\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\\
\mathrm{D} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, because of the remaining boost freedom, r is fixed only upto transformations of the form $r \to g_1(u)r + g_2(u)$.

From the directional derivatives of α we see that α can be written in the form

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{-2\Lambda\nu^2 + sm(u)^2}}{4\nu}, \qquad s = \pm 1. \qquad (42)$$

Applying $[\Delta, \mathrm{D}]$ and $[\delta, \Delta]$ to r, we obtain an expression for $H(u, r, \nu, x)$:

$$H(u, r, \nu, x) = -\frac{\Lambda r^2}{2} + \frac{4\alpha\nu}{\Lambda} + n(u).$$

From $[\overline{\delta}, \delta]x$ we get $X(u, \nu, x) = X(u, x)$, while $[\delta, \Delta]x$ shows that

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial u} = \frac{1}{4\nu}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - 4X\Delta\nu\right) \qquad (43)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu} = \frac{U}{\nu} - \frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha\nu^2}. \qquad (44)$$

From (37) and $\mathrm{D}\gamma_1$, we see that $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(u, x)$ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m^2}{\mathrm{d}u} = \frac{2}{Xs\nu}\left(sm^2X\Delta\nu - 4\alpha\nu\frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial x}\right). \qquad (45)$$

Making use of the latter equation, we can write $[\delta, \Delta]\nu$ as

$$\frac{\partial\Delta\nu/\nu}{\partial x} = -16\gamma_1\alpha X \qquad (46)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial\Delta\nu/\nu}{\partial\nu} = -\frac{\partial\gamma_1/\partial x}{4\alpha\nu^3 X}. \qquad (47)$$

We now distinguish two subclasses $m = 0$ or $m \neq 0$ (in both classes b and n are arbitrary real functions of u) :

3.1.1. The class $m(u) = 0$

Notice that, if $m(u) = 0$, (42) shows that $\Lambda < 0$ and we put $\alpha_0 = \alpha = \sqrt{-2\Lambda}/4$. (45) shows that γ_1 is independent of x. (44), (43) and (47) then yield

$$\Delta\nu(u, \nu, x) = -\frac{\nu \partial X/\partial u}{X}. \tag{48}$$

and

$$U(u, \nu, x) = \frac{\gamma_1}{2\alpha_0 \nu} + \nu U_1, \tag{49}$$

where U_1 is a function of (u, x).

As $\boldsymbol{\omega}^1$ is now given by

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}\gamma_1 + \Delta\nu}{2\alpha_0\nu} + \mathrm{i}\nu U_1\right)\mathrm{d}u - \frac{1}{4\alpha_0\nu}\mathrm{d}\nu + 2\mathrm{i}\nu X \mathrm{d}x, \tag{50}$$

with γ_1 a function of u and U_1, X functions of (u, x), we see that $\mathrm{i}\nu U_1(u, x)$ can be absorbed by a transformation of x. The remaining equation to be satisfied (46) then reads

$$\frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x \partial u} X - \frac{\partial X}{\partial u}\frac{\partial X}{\partial x} - 16\gamma_1\alpha_0 X^3 = 0, \tag{51}$$

where there is still freedom in the choice of x.

If $\gamma_1 = 0$ the solution of (51) is of the form $X(u, x) = f(x)/b(u)$, where we can put $f(x)$ equal to 1 by a transformation of x. After a transformation of the r, ν and u coordinates, the resulting metric turns out to be precisely the subcase $\gamma_1 = 0$ of (53) below.

If $\gamma_1 \neq 0$, we can use $\Delta\nu/\nu$ as coordinate x, (as $[\delta, \Delta]\nu$ and $[\overline{\delta}, \Delta]\nu$ show that $(\delta - \overline{\delta})\Delta\nu \neq 0$, ν, u and $\Delta\nu$ are certainly functionally independent). From (46) we get $X(u, x) = -1/(16\gamma_1\alpha_0)$, while (43) and (44) yield

$$U(u, \nu, x) = \frac{\nu}{8\alpha_0\gamma_1^2}\left(2x\frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma_1}{\mathrm{d}u} - x^2\gamma_1 + 256 b\alpha_0^2\gamma_1^3\right) + \frac{\gamma_1}{2\alpha_0\nu}. \tag{52}$$

Using a coordinate transformation $x \longrightarrow 16x\gamma_1(u)\alpha_0$, and rescaling γ_1 and ν, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}s^2 = {} & \left[2n - \Lambda r^2 - \nu + \gamma_1^2\left(\Lambda^3(b + x^2)^2\nu^2 - 2\Lambda(b - x^2) + \frac{1}{\Lambda\nu^2}\right)\right]\mathrm{d}u^2 \\ & +2\left[\mathrm{d}r + \frac{4x\gamma_1}{\nu}\mathrm{d}\nu - 2\gamma_1\left(\Lambda^2(b + x^2)\nu^2 + 1\right)\mathrm{d}x\right]\mathrm{d}u + \Lambda\nu^2\mathrm{d}x^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^2}{\Lambda\nu^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

3.1.2. The class $m(u) \neq 0$

If $m(u) \neq 0$, we get, from (45) and (47), that

$$\Delta\nu = \frac{1}{sm^2X}\left(4\alpha\nu\frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial x} + sm\nu X\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}u}\right). \tag{54}$$

(44) and (43) then yield

$$U = \frac{16\gamma_1\alpha\nu}{sm^2}, \tag{55}$$

$$X = \frac{X_1(x)}{m(u)}, \tag{56}$$

and (46) can be rewritten as

$$X_1\frac{\partial^2\gamma_1}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial x}\frac{\mathrm{d}X_1}{\mathrm{d}x} + s4X_1^3\gamma_1 = 0. \tag{57}$$

Applying the coordinate transformation $x \to y(x)$, with $\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x} = X_1$, the latter equation transforms to

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma_1}{\partial y^2} + s4\gamma_1\right) = 0, \tag{58}$$

which gives

$$\gamma_1 = P_1(u)\Sigma(2y + P_2(u)), \tag{59}$$

with $\Sigma =$ sin or sinh according to the sign of s. We can now use the freedom in the choice of r to put $P_1(u)$ equal to 1.

After rescaling γ_1 and applying a transformation $\nu \to m\nu/\sqrt{2}$, we get the following expression for the line-element :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}s^2 = &\left[2n - \Lambda r^2 - 4(s-\Lambda\nu^2)\gamma_1^2 + \frac{2m\sqrt{s-\Lambda\nu^2}}{\Lambda} - \left(\frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial y}\right)^2\right]\mathrm{d}u^2 \\ &+ \left[2\mathrm{d}r - 4\nu\sqrt{s-\Lambda\nu^2}\gamma_1\mathrm{d}y + \frac{2}{\sqrt{s-\Lambda\nu^2}}\frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial y}\mathrm{d}\nu\right]\mathrm{d}u \\ &- \nu^2\mathrm{d}y^2 - \frac{1}{s-\Lambda\nu^2}\mathrm{d}\nu^2.\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

3.2. The family $\pi \neq 0$

In this case (30) yields

$$\Phi_{22} = -\frac{\nu(\Psi_2 + \Lambda/3)}{\pi}.$$

The Bianchi equations can be written as follows :

$$\delta\Psi_2 = -3\pi\Psi_2, \qquad \delta\pi = \frac{\pi(\delta\nu - \nu\pi)}{\nu},$$

$$\overline{\delta}\Psi_2 = -3\pi\Psi_2, \qquad \overline{\delta}\pi = \frac{\pi(\overline{\delta}\nu - \nu\pi)}{\nu},$$

$$\Delta\Psi_2 = 0,$$

$$\mathrm{D}\Psi_2 = 0, \qquad \mathrm{D}\pi = \frac{\pi\mathrm{D}\nu}{\nu},$$

while the NP Ricci equations yield

$$\alpha = \frac{3\Psi_2 + \Lambda - 6\pi^2}{12\pi}, \qquad \overline{\gamma} = \gamma$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\nu &= -\frac{\nu(3\Psi_2+\Lambda)}{6\pi}, & \Delta\pi &= 0,\\ \overline{\delta}\nu &= -\frac{\nu(3\Psi_2+\Lambda)}{6\pi}, & \delta\gamma &= 0,\\ & & \overline{\delta}\gamma &= 0,\\ \mathrm{D}\nu &= 0, & \mathrm{D}\gamma &= -c_1\Psi_2^{2/3}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have the total derivatives :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\gamma &= \Delta\gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}^3 - c_1\Psi_2^{2/3}\boldsymbol{\omega}^4,\\ \mathrm{d}\nu &= -\frac{\nu(3\Psi_2+\Lambda)}{6\pi}(\boldsymbol{\omega}^1+\boldsymbol{\omega}^2) + \Delta\nu\boldsymbol{\omega}^3,\\ \mathrm{d}\pi &= -(\pi^2 + \frac{\Psi_2}{2} + \frac{\Lambda}{6})(\boldsymbol{\omega}^1+\boldsymbol{\omega}^2),\\ \mathrm{d}\Psi_2 &= -3\pi\Psi_2(\boldsymbol{\omega}^1+\boldsymbol{\omega}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Remember $\Psi_2 = \Psi_2(\pi)$ (32). We can use π (or Ψ_2) as a coordinate, but we prefer to write $\pi = \pi(x)$, $\Psi_2 = \Psi_2(x)$ etc.
The Cartan equations and the directional derivatives of π show that we can write the basis one-forms as :

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^1 = S\mathrm{d}x + \mathrm{i}(U\mathrm{d}u + X\mathrm{d}x + f\mathrm{d}y), \tag{61}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^3 = \mathrm{d}u, \tag{62}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^4 = \mathrm{d}r + H\mathrm{d}u + F\mathrm{d}x + G\mathrm{d}y, \tag{63}$$

where f, F, G, H, U and X are real functions of (u, r, x, y), and S is a real function of x, which has to satisfy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Psi_2}{\mathrm{d}x} = -6S\pi\Psi_2, \tag{64}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\pi}{\mathrm{d}x} = -\frac{1}{3}S(6\pi^2 + 3\Psi_2 + \Lambda). \tag{65}$$

The corresponding directional derivatives are given by

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2Sf}\left((XG - fF + \mathrm{i}SG)\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - (X + \mathrm{i}S)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \tag{66}$$

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{f}\left(f\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + (UG - fH)\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - U\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \tag{67}$$

$$\mathrm{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}. \tag{68}$$

Applying $[\Delta, \mathrm{D}]$ and $[\delta, \mathrm{D}]$ to y shows f, U and X are independent of r, so a transformation of y exists making $U = 0$. From $[\delta, \Delta]y$ it then follows that f and X are independent of u so a further transformation of y exists, making $X = 0$. Next $[\delta, \overline{\delta}]y$, (32) and (64), show that

$$f = \frac{\pi}{\Psi_2^{1/3}}, \tag{69}$$

a factor $f_0 f'(y)$ in f being absorbed in $\mathrm{d}y$. From the directional derivatives of γ, we get $\gamma = -c_1 \Psi_2^{2/3} r + \gamma'(u, x, y)$, $F(u, r, x, y) = -4\pi(x) r S(x) + F_1(u, x, y)$ and $G(u, r, x, y) = G_1(u, x, y)$, where γ' has to satisfy

$$\frac{\partial \gamma'}{\partial x} = -c_1 \Psi_2^{2/3} F_1, \tag{70}$$

$$\frac{\partial \gamma'}{\partial y} = -c_1 \Psi_2^{2/3} G_1. \tag{71}$$

Notice also that, in case $c_1 = 0$, γ' is a function of u only, such that we can use the remaining boost freedom to put γ' equal to zero. This allows us to write $\gamma' = c_1^2 b$.

The directional derivatives of ν, together with (64), lead to an expression for ν :

$$\nu = \frac{n(u)}{\pi(x) \Psi_2^{1/3}(x)}, \tag{72}$$

and from $[\Delta, \mathrm{D}]r$, $[\delta, \Delta]r$ and $[\delta, \overline{\delta}]r$ we find an expression for H :

$$H = \frac{1}{\Psi_2^{2/3}} \left[c_1 \left(-r^2 \Psi_2^{4/3} + 2 r c_1 b \Psi_2^{2/3} - c_1^2 b^2 + \frac{\partial b}{\partial u} \right) + \left(m - n \Psi_2^{1/2} \right) \right], \tag{73}$$

where $m(u)$, $n(u)$ and $b(u, x, y)$ are real functions.

If we use Ψ_2 as coordinate x, and perform a translation of $r \rightarrow r + c_1 b(u, x, y)/x^{2/3}$, the line-element for this family is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}s^2 = & -\frac{2}{x^{2/3}} \left(c_1 x^{4/3} r^2 + n x^{1/3} - m \right) \mathrm{d}u^2 + 2\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}u + \frac{4r}{3x} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}u \\ & - \frac{1}{3x^2 \left(6x - \Lambda + 6 c_1 x^{2/3} \right)} \mathrm{d}x^2 - \frac{1}{3x^{2/3}} \left(6x - \Lambda + 6 c_1 x^{2/3} \right) \mathrm{d}y^2. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Notice that, in the case where $c_1 \neq 0$, we can fix the boost by requiring $n = 1$.

4. Conclusions

We can state that all Petrov type D pure radiation metrics, with or without cosmological constant, which admit a non-diverging null congruence, can be written in one of the three forms (53), (60) or (74). Metric (74) reduces to the metric of Wils and Van den Bergh[3] for $n(u) = 0$. One can readily show that the subcase $\gamma_1 = 0$ of (53) is a singular limit of (60). But whether or not (53) with $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ is a singular limit of (60) is not yet clear. It is clear that in general (74) admits at least one Killing vector field. More isometries may arise for particular choices of the parameters and free functions. A detailed discussion of the physical properties of these metrics will be given in a follow up paper.

References

[1] P. Wils, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **7**, 1905 (1990).

[2] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, M. A. H. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[3] P. Wils and N. Van den Bergh, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **7**, 577 (1990).

[4] N. Van den Bergh, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **6**, 1373 (1989).

[5] W. Kundt, *Z. Phys.* **163**, 77 (1961).

[6] W. Kinnersley, *J. Math. Phys.* **10**, 1195 (1969).

[7] R. Geroch, A. Held and R. Penrose, 1973 *J. Math. Phys.* **14**, 874

[8] E. T. Newman and R. Penrose, 1962 *J. Math. Phys.* **3**, 566